

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/653,997	09/04/2003	Katsuhiko Miki	242098US-557-557-3-CONT	4579 .
22850	7590 11/09/2006		EXAMINER	
	ICCLELLAND	KOHNER, MATTHEW J		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		3653	
			DATE MAILED: 11/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/653,997	MIKI, KATSUHIKO				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Matthew J. Kohner	3653.				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 At	ugust 2006	•				
2a)⊠	<del>_</del>	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٠,ــ	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
·							
,	Claim(s) <u>55-79</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>55-65,68,70,72,74,76 and 78</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•							
7) 🖂	Claim(s) 66,67,71,73,75 and 77 is/are rejected.						
'=							
		relection requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
		•					
Attachmen							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔯 Infor	) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)       Paper No(s)/Mail Date          ) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)       5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application         Paper No(s)/Mail Date       6) ☐ Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/653,997

Art Unit: 3653

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 66, 67, 71, 75 and 77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,278,858 to Suga et al. (hereinafter "Suga").

In regard to claims 66, 67, 71, 75 and 77, Suga discloses an image forming apparatus comprising:

- an image forming device (col. 1, line 10) configured to form an image on a sheet;
- a sheet feeding device configured to convey the sheet to said image forming device, said sheet feeding device including an axis, a driving gear configured to rotate said axis and supporting said axis at one side thereof (See e.g. Fig. 2-5), a gear engaged with said driving gear, a feed roller (51) and a separation member (53) being configured to be pressed against said feed roller at a pressure applied between said feed roller and said separation member; and
- a pressing device (91) configured to cyclically change the pressure while the sheet is conveyed between said feed roller and said separation member (col. 7, line 37 col. 9, lines 45)

Application/Control Number: 10/653,997

Art Unit: 3653

such that a plurality of sheets conveyed between said feed roller and said separation member are separated and individually conveyed to said image forming device.

wherein said sheet separation member is a reverse roller upwardly and elastically supported by said axis, and disposed at a free end side of said axis via a torque limiter (31), so as to be rotated in a sheet feeding direction and a direction opposite the sheet feeding direction (col. 7, lines 21-25).

In regard to claim 67, see Fig. 3 where pressing member (91) at the side of separation roller (53).

In regard to claim 71, Suga discloses a sheet guide (see Fig. 2).

In regard to claims 75 and 77, Suga discloses a torque limiter (62).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 73 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suga.

In regard to claim 73, Suga does not specifically disclose that the feed roller, friction roller and pressing unit are integrally constructed as a unit. However, Suga does disclose the claimed invention except for the integral construction. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art a the time the invention was made to have constructed the parts integrally since it has been held that forming in one piece an article that formerly has been formed in

Art Unit: 3653

several pieces and put together involves only routing skill in the art. *Howard v. Detroit Stove Works* 150 U.S. 164 (1893).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 69 and 79 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Amendment / Arguments

Applicant has amended the claims 66, 67, 75, 77 and added new claim 79. Applicant's arguments filed Aug. 28, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has argued the claimed invention is distinguishable from Suga, since the pressing device cyclically changes the pressure while the sheet is conveyed between the feed roller and the separation member. Applicant has argued in Suga, the spring receiver 91 stays pressing the separation roller 53 at the higher pressure P2 while the sheet feeding roller 51 is feeding a sheet (Applicant's remarks, page 14). Examiner disagrees with Applicant's assertion that the spring receiver stays pressing at the higher pressure while the feed roller is feeding the sheet. At least as shown in Fig. 7 (f) and (g), the sheet is conveyed between the feed roller and the separation member and the pressure is varied from P2 to P1. Further, the separation roller in Fig. 7 (f) and (g) is continuing to rotate to separate sheets. Therefore, Suga meets the claim language which requires, "a pressing device configured to cyclically change the pressure while the sheet is conveyed between said feed roller and said separation member such that a plurality of sheets

Page 5

Art Unit: 3653

conveyed between said feed roller and said separation member are separated and individually conveyed to said image forming device..."

Hence the rejection is maintained.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Kohner whose telephone number is 571-272-6939. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Mackey can be reached on 571-272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/653,997 Page 6

Art Unit: 3653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Matthew J. Kohner Examiner Art Unit 3653

mjk

PATRICK MACKEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY OGY CENTER 3600